Lecture 1: 8:15 – 9:10 a.m.

William Polonsky, PhD, CDE, Presents:

Communicating the Good News (Not Just the Bad News) About Diabetes: How Evidence-Based Hope Can Promote Patient Engagement





Number of Patients Who Avoid Sharing Information with Their HCP

	Ever Avoided Informing the Clinician, No. (%)			
Type of Information	MTurk (n = 2011)	SSI (n = 2499)		
Disagreed with clinician's recommendation	918 (45.7) (n = 2010)	785 (31.4) (n = 2497)		
Did not understand clinician's instructions	638 (31.8) (n = 2009)	607 (24.3) (n = 2497)		
Had unhealthy diet	493 (24.5) (n = 2009)	506 (20.3) (n = 2491)		
Did not take prescription medication as instructed	453 (22.5) (n = 2011)	439 (17.6) (n = 2491)		
Did not exercise	446 (22.2) (n = 2008)	538 (21.6) (n = 2495)		

Levy et al, 2018

HCP Attributions Regarding Poor Adherence in Diabetes

HCP top 5 complaints:

- 1. Patients say they want to change, but are not willing to make the necessary changes
- 2. Not honest/Only tells me what they think I want to hear
- 3. Don't listen to my advice
- 4. Diabetes not a priority/Uninterested in their condition/ "In denial"/Don't care/Unmotivated
- 5. They do not take responsibility for self-management

Edelman et al, 20

Strategies for Promoting Behavior Change in Diabetes

	% (95% Cl)	
Reason	MTurk	SSI
i didn't want to be judged or get a lecture about my behavior.	81.8 (79.8-83.9)	64.1 (61.5-66.7)
I didn't want to hear how bad [Insert behavior] is for me.	75.7 (73.5-78.0)	61.1 (58,5-63.8)
I was embarrassed to admit that I [insert item].	60.9 (58.9-62.9)	49.9 (47.8-52.1)
I didn't want the health care provider to think that I'm a difficult patient.	50.8 (48.7-52.9)	38.1 (36.0-40.3)
I didn't want to take up any more of the health care provider's time.	45.2 (42.6-47.9)	35.9 (33.2-38.7)
I didn't think it mattered.	38.6 (36.6-40.6)	32.9 (30.9-35.0)
I didn't want the health care provider to think that I'm stupid.	37.6 (35,7-39.6)	30.6 (28.6-32.7)

Real Life with Diabetes

Living with diabetes can be tough
 It is a time-consuming job

Estimated time required for recommended care*			
Taux	Minutes like		
ADA recommendations			
increase management			
Record keeping	5		
taking and medication			
Pool care			
Onli hygiene, Roseing			
Problem activity	12		
Shel playing	10		
Dropping	17		
Preparing meals	30		
Evention	- 190		
ADA SUBTOTAL	122		
Other describle soft-care			
Montoring blood pressure	3		
Breas nanapartert	-10		
Bucgeoni grava			
Administrative tasks			
Phoning aducations, doctors	1		
Scheduling appointments			
Insurance dealings			
Obtaining augulies			
TOTAL TIME	145		

Russell et al, 2005

Real Life with Diabetes

1. Living with diabetes can be tough

It is a time-consuming job

 It is a balancing act that requires vigilance and an ability to deal with frustration



Motivation in Diabetes

- No one is unmotivated to live a long and healthy life
- The real problem: Obstacles to self-care outweigh possible benefits
 - And there are a TON of obstacles!
 - The underlying theme to most obstacles is a lack of "worthwhileness"

Lack of Worthwhileness

>An invisible and non-urgent disease



Lack of Worthwhileness

An invisible and non-urgent disease
 Hopelessness

"What's the difference? This disease is going to get me no matter what I do.

Lack of Worthwhileness

- >An invisible and non-urgent disease
- ➤Hopelessness
- Discouragement

"I did everything I was supposed to, and now you're telling me I have to take even more medications?!"

Step 1. Assess

- >The informal approach:
 - "What's one thing about diabetes that's driving you crazy?"
- >The formal approach:
 - Use self-report instruments

Diabetesdistress.org



T1-DDS & DDS in English & Spanish Automatically scored, with printable reports

Strategies for Promoting Behavior Change in Diabetes

Diabe	Diabetesdistress.org				
our DDS Summary Report (pa	age 1)				
Little or none D to 1.9	Moderate DD 1010 Z P	High DD 30 and up			
TAL					
	2.35				
ADTIONAL BURDEN					
2.00					
VISICIAN DISTRESS					
5.50					

A score of 2.0 or higher on any stale suggests significant diabetes distress.

A T1-REDEEM Participant

" It was totally unexpected and surprising. I have had diabetes for 35 years. In all that time no one has ever asked me what it was like for me to have diabetes and what it was about diabetes that I found most distressing. And even if they did ask, I doubt that they would have taken the time or had the interest to listen carefully to my answer."

Step 2. Respond with Empathy

>Don't try to fix your patient's difficult feelings

≻Instead, acknowledge and normalize

 "Given the nature of diabetes, feeling this way is perfectly reasonable and many other people feel the same."







Step 3. Make the Invisible Visible

Back	on Track Fe	Name: N	lolly B.	
<u>Tests</u>	Your Targets	Last Results	FID #:	
	Your score should be		SAFE : At or better than goal	NOT SAFE : Not yet at goal
A1C	7.0% or less	8.7%		х
Blood Pressure	130/80	125/75	x	
LDL	100 or less	116		x



Step 3. Make the Invisible Visible

▶ Be non-judgmental.

• Fear tactics may be counterproductive:

- "Do you want to go blind, do you?"
- "If you don't do better, you'll end up on insulin. Is that what you want, is it?!"
- Rather than describing numbers as "good/bad" or "high/low", use "safe/unsafe".

Step 3. Make the Invisible Visible

- > Be non-judgmental.
- > Offer congratulations when possible.

"Your A1C is still too high. Don't you understand the consequences? Why aren't you working harder on this?"

"Its great that you took the time to get your A1C done today. The numbers haven't moved much, which tells us that something different is needed."

Step 3. Make the Invisible Visible

- ▶ Be non-judgmental.
- > Offer congratulations when possible.
- \succ Provide a path forward.
 - "Let's work together to get these important numbers to a safe place for you".

2014 American Perdedi gui American 0014/22009/5312.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/ap01072

d=0.21

Appealing to Fear: A Meta-Analysis of Fear Appeal Effectiveness and Theories

- 248 independent samples, n = 27,372
- > Fear appeal:
- Fear appeal + efficacy message d=0.43

interimpted Bullets

Step 4. Share the Good News

- Q. Diabetes is the leading cause of adult blindness, amputation, and kidney failure. True or false?
- A. False. To a large extent, it is *poorly controlled* diabetes that is the leading cause of adult blindness, amputation and kidney failure.

Well-controlled diabetes is the leading cause of... NOTHING!







Strategies for Promoting Behavior Change in Diabetes



In Summary

"Historical reports of frequencies of serious complications in T1D patients are clearly outdated ... rates of complications with 'intensive' treatment, or what would now be considered the standard of care, are substantially lower than in the past. This is indeed good news that should be openly shared with the newly diagnosed patient to help alleviate fears that may accompany the diagnosis.."

Risk Factors, Mortality, and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

Aidin Rawshani, M.D., Araz Rawshani, M.D., Ph.D., Stefan Franzén, Ph.D., Naveed Sattar, M.D., Ph.D., Björn Eliasson, M.D., Ph.D., Ann-Marie Svensson, Ph.D., Björn Zethellus, M.D., Ph.D., Mervete Miftaraj, M.Sc., Darren K. McGuire, M.D., M.H.Sc., Annika Rosengren, M.D., Ph.D., and Soffia Gudbjörnsottir, M.D., Ph.D.

- 271,174 T2Ds, 1,355,870 matched controls
- T2Ds "who had five risk-factor variables within target ranges appeared to have little or no excess risks of death, MIs, and stroke as compared with the general population."

Rawshani et al, 20

Nichols, 2009

We Even Put it on Mugs!



Diabetes and Your Health

"To live a long and healthy life, develop a chronic disease and take care of it." - Sir William Osler



Step 5. Address Discouragement

≻Make behavioral success easier

- Plan for actions must be doable
- Focus on the behavior, not the outcome
- Collaborative agreement and commitment
- "So just to make sure we're on the same page, what's one diabetes-related action you're aiming to do over the next few months?"

Step 5. Address Discouragement

- > Make behavioral success easier
- > Re-frame the medication conversation



Step 5. Address Discouragement

- Make behavioral success easier
- Re-frame the medication conversation
 Taking your meds is one of the most powerful
 - things you can do to improve your health.There are always pro's and con's; the con's are probably not as big as you think.
 - More meds doesn't mean you're sicker, fewer meds doesn't mean you're healthier.

Step 5. Address Discouragement

- >Make behavioral success easier
- ➤ Re-frame the medication conversation
- Provide the tools needed to be successfulOngoing support

The Impact of Automated Brief Messages Promoting Lifestyle Changes Delivered Via Mobile Devices to People with Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Trials

Carukshi Arambepola¹, MD, Ignacio Ricci-Cabello², PhD; Pavithra Manikavasagam¹, MBBS; Nia Roberts¹, MSc David P French¹, PhD, Andrew Farmer², DM

Step 5. Address Discouragement

- > Make behavioral success easier
- ▶ Re-frame the medication conversation
- ≻ Provide the tools needed to be successful
 - Ongoing support
 - Medications
 - Devices

Table 2-OOL outcomes	by study arm	from baseline	to 24-week fol	low-up	
	CGM	group	Contro	group	
	Baseline	24 weeks	Baseline	24 weeks	P value
WHO-5	71.28 ± 14.71	70.47 ± 16.68	69.06 ± 14.89	67.32 ± 15.86	0.50
EQ-5D-5L	0.90 ± 0.11	0.89 ± 0.10	0.89 ± 0.11	0.88 ± 0.10	0.92
Diabetes distress (DDS)					
Total	1.78 ± 0.65	1.61 ± 0.48	1.69 ± 0.62	1.78 ± 0.65	0.03
Regimen	2.09 ± 0.87	1.81 ± 0.68	2.08 ± 0.99	2.05 ± 0.87	0.04
Emotional burden	2.06 ± 0.90	1.93 ± 0.80	1.91 ± 0.83	2.03 ± 0.95	0.09
Interpersonal	1.54 ± 0.81	1.43 ± 0.61	1.45 ± 0.70	1.73 ± 1.04	0.01
Physician	1.19 ± 0.63	1.09 ± 0.25	1.12 ± 0.25	1.18 ± 0.69	0.15
Hypoglycemic confidence (HCS)	3.27 ± 0.57	3.47 ± 0.55	3.15 ± 0.57	3.18 ± 0.63	0.03
Hypoglycemia fear (worry subscale of HFS-II)	15.75 ± 12.30	13.48 ± 10.63	17.30 ± 13.22	17.73 ± 14.92	0.15

Step 6. Take Care of Yourself

> HCP burnout is much too common



Step 6. Take Care of Yourself

> HCP burnout is much too common



"... and although dog videos do not improve performance notably, people do report feeling better."

inkbeiner et al, 2016

In Summary

- > Assess
- Respond with empathy
- Make the invisible visible
- Share the good news
- > Address discouragement
- Take care of yourself



Lecture 2: 11:30 – 12:30 p.m.

Irl B. Hirsch, MD, Presents:

Update and Clinical Overview of the Oral Medications for Type 2 Diabetes and Their Cardiovascular Effects













Glycemic	targets f	for pat	ients	with	T2D
Ciyocinio	ungeto i	or par	iento		

Treatment Goal	ADA	AACE
HbA1c (%)	< 7	≤ 6.5
FPG (mg/dL)	80-130	<110
Preprandial glucose (mg/dL)	80–130	< 110
Postprandial glucose (mg/dL)	< 180*	< 140**

Are they realistic?



Case 1: 49-year-old male with T2D for 6 yrs



Other medical history: central obesity, dyslipidemia, HTN and CAD s/p MI

Family Hx: positive for T2D, obesity and CAD

Notes: very few home glucose monitoring results

Diabetes Meds: Metformin, SFU, DPP4i, SGLT2i, and basal insulin

 $_{\circ}~$ Current A1C 11.4% (10.6% 1 year ago, 10.1% 2 years ago)

Creatinine 1.4 mg/dL, eGFR 65 mL/min/1.73 m²
 LDL 112 mg/dL, Triglycerides 296 mg/dL, HDL 21 mg/dL



	What is the most likely reason why this patient has not achieved his A1c goal?				
Α	He needs prandial insulin				
в	Poor adherence with his medication				
с	He does not exercise regularly				
D	His diabetes regimen is too complicated				
E	He needs a GLP-1 RA				
	TCOŸ				



Nine FDA-approved classes of oral meds for T2D

- Metformin (first line therapy unless contraindicated)
- Sulfonylureas, meglitinides
- Glitazones (pioglitazone, rosiglitazone)
- DPP-4 inhibitors (sitagliptin, saxagliptin, linagliptin, alogliptin)
- SGLT-2 inhibitors (canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, empagliflozin , ertugliflozin)
- NEW GLP-1 Receptor Agonist (oral semaglutide)*
- Bile acid sequestrant (colesevelam)*
- Dopamine receptor agonists (bromocriptine mesylate)*
- Alpha glucosidase inhibitors (acarbose, miglitol)*
- * not discussed in detail in this presentation http://www.fda.gov/drugs

Clinical treatment pearls

- $\circ\,$ Always confirm as best you can if the patient is adherent with his/her medications (check refill history)
- The higher the baseline A1C, the greater the fall in A1C with any therapeutic intervention
- Adding diabetes medication instead of switching should be the rule rather than the exception
- $_{\circ}$ Always address the ABCs (A1C, <code>BP {<140/90 mm/Hg} and Cholesterol {LDL<100mg/dl or <70 if CAD present}</code>)
- Spending time with the patient and his/her support person(s) in meaningful shared decision-making addressing their health care priorities and concerns will improve adherence

mm SV, Henry & Dagroun and management of type 2 databases. 1P database means dimensional meters and the strate of the strate of

Case 2: 69-year-old centrally obese female with T2D for 9 years

PMH: Obesity (BMI 34 kg/m²), HTN, dyslipidemia, OSA, breast cancer s/p lumpectomy and hormonal therapy in remission

Family History: Both parents had type 2 diabetes

Notes:

- Creatinine 1.1 mg/dl, eGFR 75 mL/min/m², UACR normal (<30mg/g creatinine)
- A1C 8.5% (above 8% for the past two years)
- Diabetes therapy is metformin and a SFU
- LDL 121 mg/dL, triglycerides 266 mg/dL, HDL 39 mg/dL

What class of agent would you add to this patient's current regimen of metformin and a SFU

- Thiazolidinedione (pioglitazone)
- DPP-4 inhibitor (sita-, lina-, saxa- and alogliptin)
- SGLT-2 inhibitor (cana-, empa-, ertu- or dapagliflozin)
- Basal insulin given once a day
 - GLP-1 RA (liraglutide, exenatide, dulaglutide, semaglutide)

Update on metformin, SFUs and TZDs (all generic)

METFORMIN

 eGFR <60 to ≥45 OK to use full dose/monitor kidneys
 eGFR <45 to ≥30 OK to use 50% maximum dose/ monitor renal function every 3-6 months (PI says yearly) o Check B-12 levels

SFUS

High secondary failure rate, however when you stop them the patient's A1c typically goes up
 Increase risk of hypoglycemia (elderly, CKD, CAD)

- TZD (PIOGLITAZONE)

 o
 Risk of bladder cancer questionable, and the risk is low (~1/5000 in the general population)
- Effective in prediabetes, best used early in the natural history (balance with potential side effects)
 Be cautious in combo with insulin (fluid retention)
 Contraindicated in the setting of heart failure

Edelman SV, Henry RR. Diagnosis and management of type 2 diabetes. 13th Edition. Professional Communications, Inc., Greenwich, CT. 288 pages, 2014.

Fracture risk is increased

<u>tcoÿe</u>

Case 3:

62-Year-Old Native American female diagnosed with T2D at age 32



- PMH: HTN, dyslipidemia, obesity, OSA and NAFLD
 FH: T2DM, early CAD
- A1C 9.5% on maximum doses of metformin and SFU
- Occasional mild hypoglycemia
- No home glucose monitoring data
- Creatinine 1.3 mg/dL, eGFR 61 mL/min/m², BMI 39 kg/m²
- BP normally above 140/90 mmHg; on no HTN meds



What therapeutic intervention would you change/initiate if you were evaluating this patient, once you have confirmed she is adherent with her medications?

- A Add pioglitazone
- B Add a DPP-4 inhibitor
- C Add a SGLT-2 inhibitor
- Add a GLP1-RA
- E Combination of a DPP4 inhibitor and a SGLT2 inhibitor

Case 3: continued

Treatment History

- A DPP-4/SGLT2 inhibitor combination pill was added to her regimen
- (once a day and one co-pay)
- Follow up was arranged for one month instead of the usual 3 to 4 months to confirm adherence
- She did well with a 10-pound weight loss and no hypoglycemia after the SFU dose was cut in half
- The A1C fell from 9.5% to 7.4%
- SBP decreased from 150 to 141 mmHg
- After 6 months she was started an ARB/statin combination pill to get her BP below 140/90 mmHg and her LDL <100 mg/dl

She was resistant to starting new medication, but the combo pills helped

<u>TCOŶĎ</u>

	Option #4: DPP-4 inhibitors
Mechanism of Action	Inhibit the enzyme, DPP-4, that normally inactivates GLP-1 and other incretins within minutes
Benefits	Once daily oral administration Virtually no side effects Can be added to any diabetes drug except GLP-1 RAs A1c reduction ~ 0.5-1% range (depends on baseline A1c)
Concerns	 Dose adjustment with renal insufficiency (only for sita-, saxa- and alogliptin), not for linagliptin Warnings and precautions: pancreatitis, heart failure, acute renal failure, angioedema, Stevens-Johnson, severe arthralgia, bullous pemphigoid
Clinical Pearls	 Efficacy of the DPP-4 inhibitors is similar All DPP-4 inhibitors come in combination pill with metformin (Alo- is combined with Pio- and Lina- is combined with empa-; new metformin XR, saxa, dapa- tablet approved)





	Generic Name	Trade Name
DPP4-Inhibitors	Alogliptin	Nesina
	Linagliptin	Tradjenta
	Saxagliptin	Onglyza
	Sitagliptin	Januvia



Generic Name	Name Trade Name Daily Dose Range		Recommended Frequency	
Sitagliptin/metformin	Janumet	50/500, 50/1000	Twice with meals	
Saxagliptin/metformin ER	Kombiglyze XR	5/500, 2.5/1000, 5/1000	Once daily with evening meal	
Linagliptin/metformin	Jentadueto	2.5/500, 2.5/850, 2.5/1000	Twice with meals	
Linagliptin/empagliflozin	Glyxambi	5/10, 5/25	Once daily	
Dapagliflozin/saxagliptin	Qtern	10 mg/5mg	Once daily	
Alogliptin/pioglitazone	Oseni	25/15, 25/30, 25/45, 12.5/15, 12.5/30, 12.5/45	Once daily	
Alogliptin/metformin	Kazano	12.5/500, 12.5 mg/1000	Twice with meals	
Ertugliflozin/sitagliptin	Steglujan	5/100, 15, 100	Once daily	
Saxagliptin/dapagliflozin/ metformin XR	Qternmet XR	2.5/2.5/1000, 2.5/5/1000, 5/5/1000, 5/10/1000	Once daily	

Case 4: 70-year-old obese female with T2D for 15 years



A1C 8.4%

- $_{\circ}$ On max. doses of metformin, a SFU and a DPP4-inhibitor
- Family History: Type 2 diabetes and obesity (both parents)
- Notes:
 - <u>Very</u> fearful of injections and gaining weight, BMI 31 kg/m²
 - $\,\circ\,$ HTN, osteoporosis, and CKD (creatinine 1.4 mg/dL and eGFR 58 mL/min/m²)
 - Home glucose monitoring shows FBS (147-219 mg/dL) with a few post dinner values (188 to 275 mg/dL)

How would you treat patient to lower her A1c?

Α	Add a TZD
В	Start a SGLT-2 inhibitor (cana-, dapa-, empa-, ertugliflozin)
с	Try to convince her to add a GLP-1 receptor agonist (exenatide, liraglutide, dulaglutide, semaglutide)
D	Try to convince her to add a basal insulin at bedtime

Case 4: continued

- Low dose SGLT-2i was added to her regimen and then titrated to the maximum dose after one month
- A1C dropped to 7.3% (baseline 8.4%) and she lost 15 lbs
- She experienced a yeast infection which was easily treated with oral fluconazole and she did not want to stop the SGLT2i
- LDL-C increased from 100 to 108 mg/dL (8% rise), HDL-C increased 10%, and her TGs decreased by 25%

<u>TCOŶD</u>

MOA	Reduce renal glucose reabsorption and increases urinary glucose excretion
Benefits	No hypoglycemia (except when being used with SFU or insulin)
	 Mean A1c reduction ~ 1% (starting from a baseline A1c of ~8.0%)
	 Weight loss (2-5% of body weight) and systolic BP reduction (2-6mmHg)
Concerns	 Genital mycotic infections. In women (6 to 12% higher than comparator) and in uncircumcised males (2 to 6% higher than comparator)
	 Hypotension secondary to volume contraction especially in the elderly, those on loop diuretic use and in patients with reduced renal function.
	 4 to 8% elevation in LDL cholesterol (TGs goes down and HDL goes up)
	Assess renal function (discussed later)
	New label warnings : DKA (discussed later), risk of amputation (discussed later), bone fractures, Fournier's Gangrene, acute kidney injury, UTI
Clinical Pearls	 1st oral medication that leads to statistically significant weight loss
	 Empa- Dapa-and canagliflozin showed positive CVD outcome trials (discussed later)
	 Can be added to any other oral agent or injectable
	 Tell women to practice good hygiene and look out for yeast infections (may want to suggest to have some anti yeast infection medication at home such as miconazole)

	Generic Name	Trade Name
SGLT-2 Inhibitor	Canagliflozin	Invokana
	Dapagliflozin	Farxiga
	Empagliflozin	Jardiance
	Ertugliflozin	Steglatro
crease to 300 mg daily if tolerati g liflozin:	ng 100 mg daily and eGFR > 60 mL/min	
tarting dose: 5mg daily in mornin	g with or without food (eGFR for both doses > 6	0 mL/min)
pagliflozin: Starting dose: 10 mg daily in toleratin	g and need additional glycemic control ing with or without food (eGFR>45 mL/min) g and need additional glycemic control (eGFR>4	5 ml /min)
eliflozin:	B	
tarting dose: 5 mg daily in mornin ncrease to 15 mg daily if toleratin	ng with or without food (eGFR for both doses >6 g and need additional glycemic control	0 mL/min)





FDA Drug Safety Communication: the Prescribing Information for <u>ALL</u> <u>SGLT-2 inhibitors was updated to include new Warnings and Precautions</u> <u>for ketoacidosis, urosepsis and pyelonephritis</u> December 14, 2015

- 1. Extremely low incidence, mostly type 1's and type 2's receiving insulin
- Complex mechanism related to paradoxical increase in glucagon promoting ketosis in the setting of glycosuria so extreme hyperglycemia is limited
- 3. Be especially cautious in women with a history of UTIs, pyelonephritis and/or genital mycotic infections
- 4. August 2018: new warning for extremely rare but serious infection: Fournier's gangrene

Brooks M. SGLT2 Inh Diabetes Drugs May Cause Ketoacidosis: FDA Erondu N, et al. Diabetes Care September 2015 38:1680-1686; 201

W	hat is the most common cause of death in type 2 diabetes?	
A	Nephropathy including end stage renal disease requiring dialysis or transplantation	
в	Complications from peripheral and autonomic neuropathy	
с	Heart disease or stroke	
D	Complications from obesity	
E	Peripheral arterial disease	
	тична сол	













High-intensity statin therapy (lowers LDL cholesterol by ≥50%)	Moderate-intensity statin therapy (lowers LDL cholesterol by 30–49%)
Atorvastatin 40–80 mg	Atorvastatin 10-20 mg
Rosuvastatin 20–40 mg	Rosuvastatin 5–10 mg
	Simvastatin 20-40 mg
PCSK9 inhibitors (evolocumab and	Pravastatin 40-80 mg
alirocumab) if LDL not at goal on	Lovastatin 40 mg
maximally tolerated statin/ezetimide	Fluvastatin XL 80 mg
	Pitavastatin 1-4 mg



Impact of i	Impact of intensive glucose-lowering therapy in D Summary of major RCTs						
Study	Study Microvascular CVD	Microvascular		Mortality			
UKPDS 33 (7.0 vs. 7.9%)	•	•	\Leftrightarrow	•	\Leftrightarrow	4	
DCCT / EDI (7.2 vs. 9.1%)	c* 🖖	•	\Leftrightarrow	•	\Leftrightarrow	•	
ACCORD (6.4% vs. 7.5%	,	♥		>	1		
ADVANCE (6.3% vs. 7.0%	;	l	\Leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\Leftrightarrow	\Leftrightarrow	
VADT (6.9% vs. 8.4%	,	ŀ	\Leftrightarrow	•	\Leftrightarrow	\Leftrightarrow	
Courtesy of Silvio Inzuce depted: Kendal DM, Bergental RM. International D MPDS Group. Lonet 1998;352:554; Holman RR. MEJ entein HC. NEIM 2008;352:555; Patel A. NEIM 200 (15);313-45; Zoungas S. NEIM 2014;371:392; Haya	chi MD, Yale University Iabetes Center 2009, 2015 M2008;359:1577; DCCT Group. I BG358:2560; Duckworth W. NEI ard RA NEIM 2015;372:23	NEIM 1993;329;977; Na M 2009;360:129. (erratu	than DM. NEIM 2005;3532 mr.361:1024]; DCCT Group.	1643. JAMA	Initia Long	l Trial Term F/U in T1DM	









Non-insulin CVOTs in T2DM: GLP-1 RA Primarily driven by a reduction in death due to cardiovascular disease Study LEADER ELIXA SUSTAIN 6 EXSCEL HARMONY REWIND PIONEER 6 Lira-glutide GLP1-RA Lixi-Sema-Albi-Dula-Oral Exesenatide natide LR semaglutide glutide glutide glutide



*CV death less with oral sema; no difference in non-fatal MI or non-fatal stroke. Median time in study: 15.9 months NEJM 2019;381:841-851.

Diabetes medications FDA approved for CV risk reduction Empagliflozin (based on EMPA-REG data) to reduce the risk of cardiovascular death in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and established cardiovascular disease

Liraglutide (based on LEADER data)

to reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular (CV) events (CV death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, or non-fatal stroke) in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus and established CV disease

Canagliflozin (based on CANVAS program data)

to reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus and established cardiovascular disease

Semaglutide (based on SUSTAIN 6) the indication of reducing the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) including cardiovascular death, non-fatal heart attack, or non-fatal stroke in adults with type 2 diabetes and established cardiovascular disease (CVD).

Not all CVOTs are created equal

Important

- Differences in study design: powered for safety or superiority
 Patient characteristics: age, weight, co-morbid complications, presence of CVD and risk factors
- Comparators may be different

am Das, Journal of Diabetes Research & Clinical Metabolism 2015, //www.hoajonline.com/journals/pdf/2050-0866-4-3.pdf

- Weigh gain and hypoglycemia differences
- Regional differences
- Outcomes differ: overall mortality, non fatal and fatal MI, stroke, etc.
- Study conduct and adherence may effect results

Diabetes medications FDA approved for renal disease

- Canagliflozin (study = CREDENCE)
- Reduce the risk of end-stage kidney and worsening renal function
- EMPA-KIDNEY: on-going

<u>tcoÿe</u>

Key principles of management of T2D

- Glycemic targets & glucose-lowering therapies should be individualized
- Diet, exercise and diabetes self-management <u>education</u> and support are the foundations of therapy
- Unless contraindicated, metformin is the preferred 1st line drug

of type 2 diabetes. 12th Edition. Professional Co

 After metformin, the first consideration is whether the patient has established ASCVD or CKD. If not, then whether hypoglycemia, weight or financial status are dominant issues. Share decision making is key!

ch, CT. 288 p

Key principles of management of T2D (cont.)

- GLP-1 RA are the preferred first injectable therapy
- Many patients over time will require insulin therapy alone or in combination with other agents to maintain glycemic control

of type 2 diabetes. 12th Edition. Pro

 Vascular disease is the most common cause of death and prevention strategies need to be emphasized (A1C, aspirin, blood pressure, cholesterol, smoking cessation, and diabetes drugs that reduce ASCVD/heart failure)



Lecture 3: 1:15 – 2:15 p.m.

Tricia Santos Cavaiola, MD, Presents:

Practical Application of Injectable Agents: Insulin and GLP-1 Receptor Agonists

Case 1: 60 year old male physician with type 2 diabetes for 10 years



- Currently on pioglitazone, DPP-4 inhibitor and a SGLT2 inhibitor Intolerant to metformin and has been resistant to taking insulin
- History of dyslipidemia, hypertension, NASH and ED Strong family history of type 2 diabetes
- Does not smoke but "likes to indulge in Old Fashioned cocktails"
- A1c 8.7%
- Creatinine 1.4 eGFR 65
- HGM data: FBS average 179 mg/dl SD 35 mg/dl Bedtime average 210 mg/dl SD 76mg/dl

А	Initiate basal insulin
В	Initiate a GLP-1 Receptor Agonist (RA)
С	Initiate premixed insulin (70/30) BID
D	Initiate a fixed combination of a basal insulin and a



Basal Insulin	vs GLP-1 RA (an incretin hormone)
Insulin: Injected once or twice a day	GLP-1 RA: Injectable once a day or once weekly and oral once daily
Need to titrate dose targeting the FBG	Reduced need to titrate dose to BG, but increase dose slowly to avoid GI side effects
Need to institute home glucose monitoring (SMBG)	"No" need for SMBG
Important to have frequent follow up when initiating basal insulin (days to weeks)	Follow up not as crucial
Weight gain	Weight loss
Hypoglycemia	No Hypoglycemia
Edelman SV, Henry RR, Diagnosis and management of type 2 diabetes.	











Mechanism of Action	* Mimic the effects of human GLP-1
Benefits	Significant A1c reductions (1.0 to 3.0% depending on baseline) Shorter acting GLP-1 RAs have greater effects on PPG Weight loss No hypoglycemia Oragenetic busine define and accounted to formulations
Concerns	Orice caally, twice daily and orice weeky formulations Softed effects (typically nausea) Contraindicated in patients with a personal or family history of MTC or NEN2 Relative contraindication in patients with a history of pancreatitis (important to know the etiology)
Clinical Pearls	 Ideal choice in obese patients with poor control, especially those on large doses of insulin "No" need to initiate or increase glucose testing Several with positive CVOT results

Generic and Trade Names: GLP-1 RAs			
	Generic Name	Trade Name	
GLP-1 Receptor Agonists	Exenatide Twice-daily Once-weekly Liraglutide Once-daily Dulaglutide Once-weekly Lixisenatide Once-daily Semaglutide Once weekly	Byetta Bydureon Victoza Trulicity Adlyxin Ozempic	
	Oral Semaglutide Once daily	Rybelsus <u>TCOŶĎ</u>	



	Generic Name	Trade Name
Basal Insulin/GLP- 1Receptor Agonist Fixed Combination	Glargine/lixisenatide Degludec/liraglutide both once-daily	Soliqua Xultophy

Where do the GLP1-RA Class Fit in the New Treatment Guidelines?

- GLP1-RAS are now recommended as the first injectable over basal insulin (unless glucose levels markedly elevated)
- Established ASCVD: GLP1-RAs are recommended immediately after metformin (SGLT2 inhibitors in CHF is the major issue)
- If primary concern is weight: GLP1-RAs are one of several choices preferred after metformin
- If primary concern is hypoglycemia: GLP1-RAS are one of several choices preferred after metformin
- $\circ~$ If primary concern is access: GLP1-RAs are not generic yet, but several types of low payment plans

TCOYE

Summary of Completed GLP-1	receptor agonists
Cardiovascular Outcome	Trials (CVOTs)

ELIXA	405/3034	399/3034	1.02	0.89	- 4	0.81
(tragiutide vis PBO)	609/4668 (13%)	(1323) (94/4672 (14.9%)	0.87	0.78. 0.97	-	0.01*
SUSTAIN-6" (semaglutide vs PBO)	108/1548 (6.6%)	146/1549 (8.9%)	0.74	0.58.	*	<0.001*
EXSCEL (exenatide vs PBO)	839/7358 (11.4%)	905/7396 (12.2%)	0,91	0.83, 1.00	-10	0.06 <0.001 (N
Harmony Outcomes (atbiglutide vs PBO)	338/4731 (7.1%)	428/4732 (9.1%)	0.78	0.68, 0.90		0,0006
*Superiority testing not a prespecified analysis				a Payare T	reasonerst 1	2 Favora Placebo 🕨



CVOTs of GLP-1 RAs

	Sludy Drug n N ("H	Placeuv mN (%)	Huzard Ratio	95°.		
ELIXA (Ibisenitide vs PBO)	122/3034 (4.0%)	127/3034 (4.2%)	0.95	0.75	+	0.75
(Imglutide vs P80)	218/4668 (4.7%)	248/4672 (5.3%)	0.87	0.73, 1.05	-6	0.14
SUSTAIN-6 (serragiutide vs PBO)	62/1648 (3.6%)	54/1649 (3.3%)	1.11	0.77, 1.61	-	0.57
EXSCEL (exemutide vs PBO)	219/7356 (3.0%)	231/7396 (3.1%)	0.94	0.78	+	
Harmony Outcom (abiglufide vs PBC HR 0.85 (0.70, 1.04); p Composile of CV death	es 2) =0.113 de HBHF			0	1 Trautment Favors	2 Placebo 🏲

ITCA 650-Medical Device To Deliver Type 2 Medication

TECHNOLOGY Subcutaneous delivery system; short office procedure
 Small micropump +- small micropump - maintains stability at temps ≈37°C - secretes medication for ≥ 12 months

MEDICATION: EXENATIDE Previously- approved GLP-1 therapeutic which demonstrates:

-glycemic control

-weight loss -safety



Not yet approved by the FDA



Injectable Agents

What would you recommend now for this patient?

А	Start a DPP4 inhibitor	
В	Try to convince her to start basal insulin	
с	Start a GLP1-RA	
D	Start pioglitazone	
		тсс





Before	GLP-1*
FBS (mg/dl)	PPG (mg/dl)
Average 188	Average 265

After GLP-1*

FBS (mg/dl)PPG (mg/dl)Average 139Average 167










Pen dose steps (units): insulin	Pen dose steps (units): insulin
degludec + liraglutide (Xuitophy)	glargine + lixisenatide (Soliqua)
10 dose steps=10 units insulin degludec +0.36 mos of liradutide	15 dose steps=15 units insulin glargine + 5 mcg of livisenatide
50 dose steps=50 units insulin degludec +1.8	30 dose steps=30 units insulin glargine + 10
mgs of liraglutide	mcg of lixisenatide
	60 dose steps=60 units insulin glargine + 20 mcg of lixisenatide
Starting dose:	Starting dose:
16 dose steps which has 16 units insulin	If glargine U–100 dose is <30, start at 15 dose
degludec + 0.58 mgs of liragiutide	steps which has 15u glargine + 5mcg lixi
	if glargine U–100 dose is >30, start at 30 dose steps which has 30u glargine + 10 mcg lixi
Titrate according to FBG, as if you were using	Titrate according to FBG, as if you were using
basal insulin alone, generally 2 dose steps at a	basal insulin alone, generally 2-4 dose steps at
time, usually every 3-4 days	a time, usually weekly
Maximum dose is 50 units of insulin degludec	Maximum dose is 60 units of insulin glargine _
and 1.8 mgs of liraglutide	and 20 mcgs of lixisenatide



























Summary: Benefits for Combining GLP-1 Receptor Agonists and Basal Insulin Analogs

Combined glycemic effects of GLP-1RA and basal insulin provides greater glycemic efficacy than either of its component parts.

- Dose related adverse effects of each component (nausea and weight gain) are minimized.
- No increased risk of hypoglycemia in the setting of improved glycemic control as compared to basal insulin alone.
- In the setting of inadequate control on basal insulin, adding a GLP-1RA is associated with greater benefits (weight loss and minimal hypo) than adding prandial insulin.

тсоў

	Generic Name	Trade Name
Fast-Acting Insulin	regular	Humulin R, Novolin R
	U-500 regular	Humulin R U-500
	aspart	NovoLog
	faster acting aspart	Fiasp
	glulisine	Apidra
	lispro (U-100 and U-200)	Humalog
	lispro	Admelog
	Innaled Insulin	Arrezza
Basal Insulin	intermediate-acting:	
	NPH	Humulin N
		Novolin NPH
	long-acting:	
	detemir	Levemir
	glargine (U-100)	Lantus
	glargine (U-300)	Toujeo
	degludec (U-100/200)	Tresiba







Two New Basal Insulins Recently Added to Our List of Options

Both approved by the FDA and now available for patients 1. U-300 glargine a long-acting basal insulin

2. U-100 and U- 200 degludec a long-acting basal insulin

Toujeo prescribing information. Bridgewater, NI: sanoli, US; 2015 http://products.sanofi.us/tou Tresiba prescribing information 2015. http://www.novo.pi.com/tresiba.pdf

Benefits Of U 300 Glargine And Degludec In Type 1 Diabetes

- Less intra-subject variability
- Less hypoglycemia
- Less weight gain Flat, stable and prolonged action greater than 24 hours
- Tell patients it takes 4 to 5 days to reach equilibration and they may need correction doses
- to 1 conversion from prior basal dose (patients switching from u 100 to U 300 glargine may need ~15% more)
- Both insulins come in easy to use pens

Ródie MC et al. Diabetes Care. 2014;37:2755-2762; Yili Jänlinon H et al. Diabetes Care. 2014; Published ahead of print: doi: 10.2337/dc1440990 Bolli Get al. Poster presented at 1540:2014; PSAY, Bajaj H. Carl presentation at CDA2014; #14 Home P et al. Abstract presented #550:2014; 0148 Bajaj H et al. Poster presented at CDA2014; P112; Mabatian M et al. Poster presented #5540014; P757; Tensahr V et al. Proster presented #550:2014; P126;





e 3: 66 year nosed with t	old obese fen type 2 diabete	n <mark>ale</mark> es 9 yea	irs ago		P
 Currently on maxim inhibitor and a DPP Her PCP started 10 	um doses of 3 oral agents 4 inhibitor units of glargine in the m	s: metformin 1 orning. After :	000 mg BID, : 8 months on	5GLT2 10 units she	
felt it "did not worl	" and she stopped it.				
 A1c > 8.5% for the p 	ast 2 years, eGFR 89, LFT	s normal			
 Current SMBG (mg/ 	dl) below:				
	Dro-Broakfact	Dro-	Dro-		
	FIE-DIEaklast	Lunch	Dinner	Bedtime	
Monday	211			185	
Tuesday	247		174		
Wednesday	181			196	

179

226

Which of the following is the single most likely explanation for her failure with basal insulin:

А	Poor adherence	
В	Initial dose was too little	
с	Inadequate titration of the glargine U-100	
D	Glargine U-100 should have been given at bedtime	

Initiating Insulin Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes: General Concepts Don't wait forever. Address patient concerns/fears. Consider combination therapy with oral agents. Start with basal insulin. Titrating the dose is essential (self titration can work well). Use a fast-acting analog as an add on to basal dose when indicated. (may only needed to be given with the largest meal discussed later) Self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) and CGM are important tools in motivating patients and in guiding dose adjustments.





Adding Basal Insulin to Oral Agents An Effective Strategy to Initiate Insulin Therapy Only 1 injection per day is typically required No need for mixing different types of insulin Convenience (usually given at night or first thing in the morning) Low dosage compared to a full insulin regimen, which limits weight gain

- e zow dosage compared to a run insum regimen, which innus weight
- Effective improvement in glycemic control by suppressing hepatic glucose production

Edelman SV, Henry RR. Diagnosis and management of type 2 diabetes. 12th Edition. Professional Communications, Inc., Greenwich, CT. 288 pages, 2014

ΤΟΟΥΙ



Case 4: continued

- eGFR 45 ml/min
 PMH: HTN, dyslipidemia, OSA, CAD, chronic pancreatitis, ED
 Other meds: ACE inhibitor, clopidogrel, atorvastatin, HCTZ, tadalafil, carvedilol, and several vitamin supplements
 Loves to eat at fast food restaurants

- Asked to test his glucose value once a day at different times

-	Discolation and a	Disc distances and an
nme	Blood glucose range	Blood glucose average
Pre-Breakfast	148 – 229 mg/dL	(175 mg/dL)
Pre-Lunch	111 – 182 mg/dL	(147 mg/dL)
Pre- Dinner	91 – 155 mg/dL	(139 mg/dL)
Bedtime	148 – 231 mg/dL	(184 mg/dL)
	No reports of hypoglyce	mia

Wł	nich of th	e following would you suggest for this patient?	
	А	Work on lifestyle and no medication addition	
	В	Initiate basal insulin	
	С	Start a GLP-1 RA and stop his DPP-4 inhibitor	
	D	Start a SGLT-2 Inhibitor	
			COY

 Insulin de units ove He was a It is impo 	egludec U-200 er the next 10 v isked to test 2x, ortant to make	was added at night (20 veeks /day (pre-breakfast and sure the patient is not	units) and titrated up to d bedtime) going to bed high	o 120
	Pre-Breakfast	82 – 155 mg/dL	(~122 mg/dL)	
	Pre-Lunch			
	Pre- Dinner			
	Bedtime	128 – 183 mg/dL	(~155 mg/dL)	
 A1c di 3 mi Oral a 	ropped to 7.1% onths gents can be co	n, no hypoglycemia. Ga pontinued unless hypogl	ined 2 lbs in ycemia occurs during th	ie n













Second Pitfall In Initiating/Titrating Basal Insulin (First one is too slow titration after starting)

Not Paying Attention To Bedtime Glucose Value

- 1. Ask the patient to do paired testing (test at bedtime and again the next morning).
- 2. If the bedtime BG is high, it needs to be addressed by either lifestyle modification including reduced caloric consumption and/or post dinner exercise.
- 3. Other options include prandial insulin or a GLP-1 RA.

nd management of type 2 diabetes. vications, Inc., Greenwich, CT. 288 pages, 2014.

Edelman SV, Henry RR. 12th Edition. Profession ΓCΟΫĨ

Cor	Clinical Pearls: nbination Therapy with Basal Insulin
-1-	Start with 10 to 20 units (based on FBS, weight)
-2-	The key to success is frequent follow up after initiation to avoid "failure" (most patients will need 40 to 70 units/day)
-3-	Have the patient follow a self-titration regimen and return to clinic or follow up in some other manner (phone, fax, email, telehealth, etc.) <u>relatively soon</u>
-4-	You can usually limit SMBG to only once a day in the morning but check at bedtime once in awhile to make sure the pt. does not need pre dinner fast acting insulin.

Transitioning From Basal to Basal/Bolus Insulin Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (Insulin and Patch Pumps Can Improve Adherence)

A1c < 7.0%, FPG < 130 mg/dL

Step 1











Wh	nich of	the following would you recomn for this patient?	nend
	A	Initiate basal insulin	
	В	Initiate a GLP-1 Receptor Agonist (RA)	
	С	Initiate premixed insulin (70/30) BID	
	D	Initiate a fixed combination of a basal insulin and a GLP-1RA	

Summary

- GLP-1 RAs represent a tremendous advance in the
 treatment of type 2 because of significant glucose lowering in
 addition to weight loss and reducing the risk of hypoglycemia
- Combination therapy (adding basal insulin to daytime OHAs/GLP1-RAs) is safe, effective and easy to implement
- The fixed combination of basal insulin and a GLP-1 RA has clinical advantages in terms of efficacy, reduced side effects and ease of use
- $\circ~$ The Basal Bolus approach in type 2 diabetes does not need to be four injections per day (pens, patch pumps and inhaled insulin to improve adherence)
- Adherence and persistence needs to be addressed at every visit

Lecture 4: 2:15 – 3:15 p.m.

Steven V. Edelman, MD, Presents:

Addressing the Therapeutic Strategies and Unmet Needs in Type 1 Diabetes

Unmet Needs in Type 1 Diabetes

- Reducing glycemic variability (GV)
- Increasing time in range (TIR)
- Reaching A1c goal without hypoglycemia
- Preventing and controlling weight gain
- Addressing cardiovascular risk factors
- Emotional burden of living with type 1 diabetes for the
- individual and his/her family

Glucose Variability has Important Impact on Patients with T1D: Both Patients Have the Same A1c



Measuring A1c alone gives no information on variability

Importance of avoiding extreme hyperglycemia and dangerous hypoglycemia Improvement in time in range

significantly reduced retinopathy and nephropathy $^{\rm 5}$

Prevalence of T1D Increasing in US

- 1.3 million adults currently have T1D¹
- 1 million adults ≥ 20 years; not a childhood disease anymore
 21% increase in prevalence of T1D in people < 20 years
 between 2001-2009²
- 40,000 people diagnosed each year in U.S.²
- 5 million people in U.S. expected to have T1D by 2050²

















General Population	0.3%	8-11%
f you have a sibling with T1D	4%	~30%
If your mother has T1D	2 – 3%	~30%
If your father has T1D	6 – 8%	~30%
f you have an identical twin with T1D	~50%	100%















Case 1

- 36 year old male with the diagnosis of type 1 diabetes at age 6
- He has been on an insulin pump for many years
- Uses a fast acting analog in his pump
- His A1c has typically been in the 6.5 to 7.5% range
- He wears a continuous glucose monitor, which gives him a
- mean glucose, standard deviation and TIR or time in range.He is frustrated with the variability of his glucose values and
- fluctuations.

What glycemic measurement is the most valuable to determine how his control is on a day to day basis?

Δ	A1c value
~	ATC VUIGE

- B Average glucose over the past 90 days
- C Frequency of hypoglycemia
- D Time in Range or TIR





Despite Following All of the Rules

- 1. Unexpected highs
- 2. Unexpected lows
- 3. Carb:Insulin ratio not working consistently
- 4. Correction Factor not working
- 5. Not responding to insulin and exercise consistently



G6 No calibration required o 10 day sensor life 110 • Predictive low alerts ○ No interference with acetaminophen o Auto inserter Medicare Approve

Eversense

Implantable Continuous Glucose Monitor



No open wound

novable and rechargeable On-body vibe alerts Gentle, daily adhesive patch

8



109

Type 1 Diabetes





GUARDIAN CONNECT



Predictive high alerts Predictive low alerts Requires calibration 6-day wear Need to confirm with fingerstick when

dosing

Freestyle Libre Flash IS or Intermittent Sensing 1 hour warm-up time Lasts 14 days Swipe to get a number Trend arrows No calibration No alerts or alarms No sharing features 1













































64 3(0100 0100 0100 0100 0100 0100 0100 0	64 year old male with T1D for 30 years on a T1D regimen 70 years on a T					
A	Needs more basal insulin					
В	Needs to be more consistent in his dinner meals/times					
С	C He has gastroparesis					
D	All of the above					











	Generic Name	Trade Name
Fast-Acting Insulin	Regular	Humulin R, Novolin R
	U-500 Regular Aspart	Humulin R U-500 NovoLog
	Faster Acting Aspart	Fiasp
	Glulisine	Apidra
	Lispro (U-100 and U-200)	Humalog
	Follow on biologic lispro	Admelog
	Inhaled Insulin	Afrezza
Basal Insulin	Intermediate-Acting:	
	NPH	Humulin N Novolin NPH
	Long-Acting:	
	Detemir	Levemir
	Glargine (U-100)	Lantus
	Glargine (U-300)*	Toujeo* Trociba*
Information taken from the PDR Guid	e Degludec (0-100/200)	Tresiba
and Package Inserts	rollow on biologic	Paraglar







Two New Basal Insulins Recently Added to Our List of Options

Both approved by the FDA and now available for patients

- 1. U-300 glargine a long-acting basal insulin
- 2. U-100 and U- 200 degludec a long-acting basal insulin

Benefits Of U 300 Glargine and Degludec in Type 1 Diabetes

- Less intra-subject variability,
- Less hypoglycemia
- Less weight gain
- Flat, stable and prolonged action greater than 24 hours
- Tell patients it takes 4 to 5 days to reach equilibration and they may need correction doses
- 1 to 1 conversion from prior basal dose (patients switching from u
- 100 to U 300 glargine may need ~15% more)
- Both insulins come in easy to use pens















Insulin Pumps: Advantages

- Improved glycemic control c More precise, physiologic insulin delivery <u>Greater ability to handle dawn phenomenon, stress and other</u>
- conditions that alter insulin requirements "Smart features" help to estimate insulin doses and reduce errors, i.e. stacking insulin
- In some situations (but not all) freedom and flexibility in
- lifestyle
- Eliminate multiple daily injections (1 stick every 3 days)
 <u>Very easy to respond to CGM results</u>
 Reduce restrictions on eating, exercise and sleeping patterns; could have the same benefits with MDI
- Greater flexibility with sports, travel, work schedule and other
- activities (not with water sports)





Variable Basal Rate Capability

- More precise, physiologic insulin delivery
- Greater ability to handle dawn phenomenon, stress and other conditions that alter insulin requirements



Variable Basal Rate Capability

- Able to set a higher basal rate for illnesses and medications
- Able to program different sets of basal rates for different situations, ie. Work days versus weekends.





Vhat a wi	adjusti th this	ment pati	wou ent c	ld y on a	ou s pun	suggest np?			
		В	L	D	HS	~3 am			
	Day 1	227	121	143	164	142			
	Day 2	203	152	144	144	161			
	Day 3	y 3 198 124 132 135 133							
	Day 4	Day 4 188							
A Increase the insulin to carbohydrate ratio at dinner time									
B	Increase t	Increase the correction factor at breakfast time							
C	Increase t	Increase the basal rate by 20% starting at 10pm to 7am							
D	Increase t	Increase the basal rate by 20% starting at 3am to 7am							



Testing the Basal Rate in Type 1 Diabetes

Testing Overnight

- Ask the patient have an early dinner, make sure the post prandial BS is between 140 and 180mg/dl (may need a correction dose) with a horizontal trend arrow
- 2. Fast until the next morning
- 3. If not on a CGM then he/she needs to test the BS every few hours

Testing During The Day (different day than testing pm)

- 1. Ask the patient if he/she can skip breakfast and fast as long as possible.
- If patient wants to eat a small breakfast then make sure the post breakfast BS is between 140-180mg/dl with a horizontal trend arrow



194 mg/dl	84 mg/dL		- 40 %	Days with 93% CGM data 13/14 Avg calibrations 1,4 per day
Average glucose (CGM)	Standard deviation (CGM)	Hypoglycemia risk	Time in range	Sensor usage
	s this T1D	on too much o	r too little ba	15al? 400 Akówi Hidel THRESHOLD -300 75TH PERCENTLA
. (112) . (512) (5 10)				- 200 AVEAGE 151H PERCENTILE 120 BELOW LOW
of Texas and the second s				THRESHOLD

Sa	ime pt. l	Fas	ting f	ron	n 9pn	n un	til 7	'am	
3 Patient's platent's gla	best glucos	e day	was Ma	rch 1	4, 2018	14			
WED MAR I	L 2018 200			/	~			~	30
	12000	1	6	9	12pm	3		9	1240
Statistics for this d	lay			÷.	L	egend			
146	42				G	HEALTH	9113	• CARES	2
mg/dL	mg/dL		C 07		6	EXERCIS.			
Average glucose (CGM)	Standard devi (CGM)	ation	Time in re	ango					







Pump vs. Multiple Daily Injections?



Sensor Augmented Pumps With Newer Features May Change The Way Patients Choose

It Comes Down To Personal Choice

Medtronic 670G:Hybrid Closed Loop

- → This is a basal rate modulator
- 📥 🛛 Works well overnight
- Still requires meal and correction boluses

• 4 or more fingersticks a day to stay in auto move

- Diabetes tasks during the day are not decreased
- $\ensuremath{\circ}$ There are more alarms
- \circ No sharing capabilities
- Fingerstick required/boluses





DIY: <u>Do It Y</u>ourself Hybrid closed loop

Old Medtronic pump/Omnipod Smart phone/Apple Watch Riley link hacking device Dexcom G6 Always in auto mode No fingersticks Formal studies underway













Adjunctive Therapies for People with Type 1 Diabetes

- ◦Amylin Analog (Pramlintide)
- Incretins (GLP-1 RA) *
- SGLT-2 Inhibitors*
- DPP4 Inhibitors*
- Metformin*

*Medications FDA approved only in type 2 diabetes at the current time

SGLT 1/2 Inhibitors in T1D

- There are 3 different drugs being studied in type 1 diabetes (empagliflozin, dapagliflozin and sotagliflozin)
 Sotagliflozin is the furthest along in development and will review the clinical trial data in detail
- If any are approved it would be the first oral agent for type 1 diabetes

Summarize Findings From All SGLT - 1/2 Inhibitors (difficult to make precise efficacy comparisons across trials due to design and analysis differences)

Efficacy (placebo adjusted)	Highest dose*				
A1C reduction	~0.4%				
Time in Range (blinded CGM)	~3 hour increase				
Time in Hypoglycemia (CGM)	No change or some reduction				
Insulin dose	10-15% reduction				
Weight	~2-3 kg reduction				
Systolic blood pressure	~3-4 mm Hg reduction				
Patient reported outcomes	Improved				
Clinically relevant adverse events include genital mycotic infections (primarily In women 12 to 15%) and DKA (3 to 4%), sometimes euglycemic DKA					
Lower doses retain much of the glycemic efficacy with lesser effect on weight and blood pressure					



Summary

- The important unmet needs in T1D include improved glycemic variability (GV), increased time in range (TIR)
- Reaching A1c goal without hypoglycemia
- CGM is the standard of care for T1D
- Pumps and the newer ultra rapid and basal insulins can help improve TIR
- Adjunctive therapies can address some of the unmet needs